

N THE VIITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:			1
Tomohiro CHIBA		Examiner L. L	EO
Application Number: 10/077,907		Group Art Unit	3743
Filed:	February 20, 2002))	RECEIVED
	STACKED-TYPE, MULTI-FLOW) HEAT EXCHANGERS)		NOV 2 7 200
RESPONSIVE AMENDMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 1.111			

Commissioner of Patents U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

In response to Office Action mailed October 21, 2002, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the above-captioned patent application in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

1. Rejections

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as allegedly rendered obvious by Japanese Patent No. 2000-105093 to Haruhiko in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,017,201 to Bossart <u>et al.</u> ("Bossart"). Applicant respectfully disagrees

2. <u>35 U.S.C. § 103(a)</u>

Claims 1-6 stand rejected as allegedly rendered obvious by Haruhiko in view of Bossart. Specifically, the Office Action alleges that Haruhiko discloses or suggests each and every element as set forth in claims 1-6 except that the projection portions extend in an oblique direction relative to the inner fin. Nevertheless, the Office Action alleges that Bossart discloses or suggests these missing elements.

DC01:343676.1 -1-